Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/724,406	FRANCISCO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MISOOK YU, Ph.D.	1642
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) MISOOK YU, Ph.D.	(3)	
(2) Mr. Mark Sandbaken.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 December 2005.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) ☐ applicant's representativ	re]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No. 	
Claim(s) discussed:		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Tian et al., and Falini et al., of record</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Art rejection and enablement rejections of record were discussed</u> .		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	CODV of the amendments that v	reed would render the claims vould render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS IN FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTrequirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	ne last Office action has already R OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ITERVIEW SUMMARY FORM	Vibeen filed, APPLICANT IS Y DAYS FROM THIS
		•
	min	sh y
	MISOOK PATENT EXA	YU
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signa	ature, if required

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)